

# The Gazette of India



PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 14] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, APRIL 2, 1960/CHAITRA 13, 1882

## NOTICE

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 22nd March 1960 :—

Issue No.	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
51	S.O. 701, dated the 16th March 1960.†	Election Commission, India.	List of contesting candidates for election to the House of the People from Unnao Parliamentary Constituency.
52	S. O. 702, dated the 18th March 1960.	Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel.	Land acquired under the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, in the locality specified in the Schedule therein.
	S. O. 703, dated the 18th March 1960.	Do.	Land acquired under the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, in the locality specified in the Schedule therein.
53	S. O. 704, dated the 21st March 1960.†	Ministry of Labour and Employment.†	Constitution of a National Industrial Tribunal with headquarters at Bombay.
	S. O. 705, dated the 21st March 1960.	Do.†	The disputes between the banking companies and their workmen are referred to a National Tribunal.†
	S. O. 706, dated the 21st March 1960.	Do.	Prohibition Order of the continuance of the strike in the State Bank of India.
	S. O. 707, dated the 21st March 1960.	Do.	The dispute between the Reserve Bank of India and its workmen is referred to the National Tribunal.
54	S. O. 708, dated the 21st March 1960.	Ministry of Food and Agriculture.	Authorising the Director of Food Supplies, Govt. of Orissa to determine the average market rates of rice and paddy in the State of Orissa.

Issue No.	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
55	S. O. 709, dated the 23rd March 1960.	Election Commission, India.	Election of a person to fill a vacancy in the House of the People from the Calcutta South-West Parliamentary constituency.
	S. O. 710, dated the 23rd March 1960.	Do.	Appointment of dates with respect to the by-election to be held in the Calcutta South-West Parliamentary constituency.
	S. O. 711, dated the 23rd March 1960.	Do.	Direction regarding the method of voting in the by-election to the House of the People from the Calcutta South-West constituency.
56	S. O. 768, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the fitness to be of the description specified therein.
57	S. O. 769, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Election Commission, India.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Andhra Pradesh.
	S. O. 770, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Assam.
	S. O. 771, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Bihar.
	S. O. 772, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the members of the Legislative Assembly of Kerala.
	S. O. 773, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Madhya Pradesh.
	S. O. 774, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Madras.
	S. O. 775, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected member of the Legislative Assembly of Mysore.

Issue No.	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
	S. O. 776, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Election Commission, India.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Orissa.
	S. O. 777, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Punjab.
	S. O. 778, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Rajasthan.
	S. O. 779, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting Candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Uttar Pradesh.
	S. O. 780, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of West Bengal.
	S. O. 781, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the Electoral College of members of the Union Territory of Delhi.
	S. O. 782, dated the 22nd March 1960.	Do.	List of contesting candidates for election to the Council of States by the members of the Electoral College of the Union Territory of Manipur.

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

## PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

**Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administrations of Union Territories).**

### MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

*New Delhi, the 23rd March 1960*

**S.O. 792.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by the Proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following further amendments in the Schedule to the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957, published with the notification of the Government of India

in the Ministry of Home Affairs No. S.R.O. 607, dated the 28th February, 1957, namely:—

In Part II of the said Schedule, against the entry "Labour Officers, Class II" in column 1, in the entries relating to item "(o)" in column 3,—

- (1) the entry "(i) Andaman Labour Force" shall be omitted; and
- (2) the entries (ii) and (iii) shall be renumbered as entries (i) and (ii) respectively.

[No. F.7/37/57-Ests(A).]

U. C. AGARWAL, Under Secy.

### MINISTRY OF FINANCE

#### (Department of Expenditure)

New Delhi, the 2nd April 1960

**S.O. 793.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 and clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution, and after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General of India in relation to persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department, the President hereby makes the following further amendment in the Civil Service Regulations, namely:—

In Form 26 to the said Regulations, in the instruction at serial number 21, the words "thumb or finger impressions and" shall be omitted.

[No. F.38(5)-EV/60.]

DEVI DIYAL BHATIA, Dy. Secy.

#### (Department of Economic Affairs)

##### Insurance

New Delhi, the 2nd April 1960

**S.O. 794.**—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (2) of Section 64-G of the Insurance Act, 1938, the Central Government hereby nominates Shri S. R. Tattersall of the Royal Insurance Company Ltd., Calcutta, as a member of the Executive Committee of the General Insurance Council of the Insurance Association of India in place of Shri H. A. Whittle, resigned.

[No. 51(8)INS(1)/59.]

P. GANGULEE, Dy. Secy.

## (Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 24th March 1960

S. O. 95—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 18th March 1960.

## BANKING DEPARTMENT

Liabilities	Rs.	Assets	Rs.
Capital paid up . . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes . . . . .	14,50,99,000
Reserve Fund . . . . .	80,00,00,000	Rupee Coin . . . . .	1,74,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long-term Operations) Fund . . . . .	30,00,00,000	Subsidiary Coin . . . . .	6,05,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	4,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
Deposits :—		(a) Internal . . . . .	..
(a) Government		(b) External . . . . .	
(1) Central Government . . . . .	52,62,24,000	(c) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	27,57,57,000
(2) Other Governments . . . . .	60,45,28,000	Balances held abroad* . . . . .	31,95,80,000
(b) Banks . . . . .	85,83,93,000	**Loans and Advances to Governments . . . . .	20,64,44,000
(c) Others . . . . .	97,27,07,000	Other Loans and Advances† . . . . .	15,12,29,000
Bills Payable . . . . .	39,57,50,000	Investments . . . . .	234,50,89,000
Other Liabilities . . . . .	43,71,89,000	Other Assets . . . . .	14,08,14,000
Rupees . . . . .	498,47,91,000	Rupees. . . . .	498,47,91,000

\*Includes Cash &amp; Short-term Securities.

\*\*Includes Temporary Overdrafts to State Governments.

†The item 'Other Loans and Advances' includes Rs. 12,58,46,000/- advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under Section 17 (4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

Dated the 23rd day of March, 1960.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 18th day of March 1960.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

Liabilities	Rs.	Rs.	Assets	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department . . . .	14,50,99,000		A. Gold Coin and Bullion:—		
Notes in circulation . . . .	1841,93,11,000		(a) Held in India . . . .	117,76,03,000	
Total Notes issued . . . .		1856,44,10,000	(b) Held outside India . . . .	..	
			Foreign Securities . . . .	163,00,89,000	
			TOTAL OF A . . . .		280,76,92,000
			B. Rupee Coin . . . .		123,74,42,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities . . . .		1451,82,76,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper . . . .		..
TOTAL—LIABILITIES . . . .		1856,44,10,000	TOTAL—ASSETS . . . .		1856,44,10,000

Dated the 23rd day of March 1960,

H. V. R. IENGAR,  
Governor.

[No. F. 3(2)-BC/60.]  
A. BAKSI, Jt. Secy.

(Department of Revenue)

ORDER

STAMPS

*New Delhi, the 28th March 1960*

**S.O. 796.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the lease-deed dated the 18th November, 1959 executed by or on behalf of the High Commission for New Zealand in India in respect of the upper portion of premises No. 19, Golf Links Area, New Delhi, is chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 3 (F. No. 1/16/60-Stamps/Cus.VII).]

P. T. SIPAHIMALANI, Under Secy.

**CENTRAL BOARD OF REVENUE**

INCOME-TAX

*New Delhi, the 25th March 1960*

**S.O. 797.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922), the Central Board of Revenue hereby makes the following further amendments to its notification S.O. 660 No. 35-Income-tax dated the 22nd April 1958, namely:—

1. In the Schedule annexed to the said notification under the sub-head "VII-(Central) Calcutta", for the existing entries in columns 1 and 2 the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

Central Range, Calcutta

1. Central Circles I to XXVII and
2. District II(1) Calcutta.

2. In the Schedule appended to the said notification under the sub-head "XV-West Bengal" against:—

'C-Range Calcutta

- (a) the existing entry 2. District II(1) Calcutta shall be deleted and
- (b) the subsequent entries 3, 4 and 5 may be re-numbered as 2, 3 and 4.

These amendments shall take effect from the 11th April, 1960.

*Explanatory Note*

These amendments have become necessary on account of the re-organisation of the Appellate Ranges in the charge of Commissioner of Income-tax (Central), Calcutta.

(This note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 33 (F. No. 50/8/60-IT).]

*New Delhi, the 28th March 1960*

**S.O. 798.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 4 of Section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922) and in supersession of the Board's Notification No. 30 (F. No. 55/17/60-IT) published as S.O. No. 726 in the Government of India's Gazette dated 26th March, 1960, the Central Board of Revenue hereby makes the following further amendments to its Notification S.O. 660 No. 35-Income-tax dated the 22nd April 1958 namely:—

For the existing sub-head "X Kerala & Coimbatore", the sub-head "X-Kerala" shall be substituted and the existing entry "Coimbatore Range" and the Income-tax Circles shown against Coimbatore Range shall be deleted.

This notification shall take effect from the 1st April, 1960.

*Explanatory Note*

The amendments have become necessary on account of the transfer of Nilgiris and Coimbatore Districts from the Charge of the Commissioner of Income-tax, Coimbatore to the Commissioner of Income-tax, Madras.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 34 (F. No. 55/17/60-IT).]

**S.O. 799.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 4 of Section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922) and in supersession of the Board's notification number 31 (F. No. 55/17/60-IT) published as S.O. No. 727 in the Government of India's Gazette dated 26th March, 1960, the Central Board of Revenue hereby makes the following amendments in the Schedule appended to its notification S.O. 660 (No. 35-Income-tax dated the 22nd April 1958 namely, after the existing entry "Tiruchirappally Range" the following shall be added:—

*"Coimbatore Range:*

1. Coimbatore Circle.
2. Special Survey Circle, Coimbatore (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside within the jurisdiction of the Coimbatore Circle).
3. Special Investigation Circle, Coimbatore.
4. Excess Profits Tax Circle, Coimbatore & Erode.
5. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Coimbatore.
6. Erode Circle.
7. Ootacamund Circle.
8. Palghat Circle.
9. Calicut Circle.
10. Cannanore Circle.
11. Trichur.
12. Alwaye Circle.
13. Special Survey Circle, Ernakulam. (in respect of persons who have their principal place of business in or reside within the jurisdiction of the Income-tax Circle, Palghat, Calicut, Cannanore, Trichur and Alwaye.)"

This notification shall take effect from the 1st April, 1960.

*Explanatory Note*

**NOTE.**—The amendments have become necessary on account of the re-organisation of the Appellate Assistant Commissioner's Ranges in the Charges of the Commissioners of Income-tax, Madras and Kerala.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 35 (F. No. 55/17/60-IT).]

D. V. JUNNARKAR, Under Secy.

**OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CUSTOMS AND CENTRAL EXCISE,  
PONDICHERRY**

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

**CENTRAL EXCISE**

*Pondicherry, the 17th March 1960*

**SUBJECT:**—*Central Excise—V.N.E. Oils—Compounded levy on the Basis of equipments employed—Clarification regarding.*

**S.O. 800.**—Attention is drawn to this office Central Excise Public Notice No. 2/60 dated 24th February 1960.

2. The rates of duty prescribed in para 4(f) thereof are per equipment (namely per Kohlu, per ghani, per rotary, or per expeller, as the case may be) employed



by a unit for the extraction of oil; and the duty liability of a manufacturer has to be calculated by multiplying the number of equipments employed by him in his unit with the weekly rate prescribed for that equipment depending upon the category under which the unit falls and whether the manufacturer declares to work for 48 hours in a week or 96 hours in a week or more. The following illustrations are given to clarify the position still further, namely:—

(i) If a manufacturer declares that he would work for 48 hours in a week and would employ 5 kohlus for the extraction of oil then the duty liability of such a manufacturer would be under category I(c) of para 4(f) of the above Public Notice, and the amount of duty payable by him for one week would be 5 kohlus  $\times$  Rs. 15=Rs. 75.00 per week.

(ii) A manufacturer who employs 2 baby expellers and 2 rotaries and declares to work for 48 hours in a week, will fall under category III(a) read with the instructions contained in para 4(f) of the above cited reference, and his duty liability for one week will be calculated as under:—

No. of equipments $\times$ weekly rate of duty for working 48 hours in a week	Total
2 (Baby Expellers) $\times$ Rs. 90	= Rs. 180/-
2 (Rotaries) $\times$ Rs. 30/- (vide the instructions contained in para 4(f) of the P.N. cited above)	= Rs. 60/-
	<u>Rs. 240/- per week</u>

(iii) A manufacturer who employs 6 rotaries and declares to work for more than 48 hours but not more than 96 hours in a week, will fall under category II(c) and his duty liability for one week will be calculated as under:—

No. of equipments $\times$ weekly rate of duty for working more than 48 hours but not more than 96 hours a week	Total
6 (Rotaries) $\times$ Rs. 65/-	= Rs. 390/- per week

(iv) A manufacturer who employs 8 ghanies and declares to work for more than 96 hours in a week, will fall under category I(d) and his duty liability for one week will be calculated as under:

No. of equipments $\times$ weekly rate of duty for working more than 96 hours in a week	Total
8 (ghanies) $\times$ Rs. 70	= Rs. 560/- per week
	[No. 4/60.]

A. J. B. LOBO, Collector.

## MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

*New Delhi, the 14th March 1960*

**S.O. 801.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Standards of Weights and Measures Act, 1956 (89 of 1956), the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st day of April, 1960 as the date on which the provisions of the said Act in so far as they relate to units of capacity shall come into force in respect of transactions involving sale and purchase of goods in the Union territory of Delhi.

[No. SMC-15(14)/60/1.]

**S.O. 802.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 14 of the Standards of Weights and Measures Act, 1956 (89 of 1956), the Central Government hereby permits, in respect of transactions involving sale and purchase of goods in the Union Territory of Delhi referred to in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry S.O. No. 801, dated the 14th March, 1960 the continuance of the use, for a period of one year from the 1st day

of April, 1960 of any units of capacity which, immediately before that day, were in use in respect of the Union Territory of Delhi.

[No. SMC-15(14)/60/2.]

K. V. VENKATACHALAM, Jt. Secy.

*Bombay, the 16th March 1960*

**S.O. 803.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (1) of clause 13 of the Cotton Control Order, 1955, I hereby direct that the following further amendment shall be made in the Textile Commissioner's Notification No. S.O. 2764 dated the 5th December, 1959 read with Notification No. S.O. 420 dated the 8th February, 1960.

In column 2, against serial Nos. 1, 2 and 3, for the existing entries the following shall be respectively substituted, namely:—

"15th April, 1960  
30th April, 1960.  
30th April, 1960".

D. S. JOSHI,  
Textile Commissioner.

[No. 24(14)-TEX(A)/59.]

HARGUNDAS, Under Secy.

*New Delhi, the 22nd March 1960*

**S.O. 804.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952 (60 of 1952), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry S.R.O. 1261, dated the 17th April, 1954, namely:—

In para 4 of the said notification, for the expression "by the 31st December, 1955", the expression "by the 15th January, 1957" shall be substituted.

[No. 44(1)Plant(A)/55.]

P. V. RAMASWAMY, Under Secy.

*New Delhi, the 28th March 1960*

**S.O. 805.**—The Central Government, having considered in consultation with the Forward Markets Commission, the application for recognition made under section 5 of the Forward Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1952 (74 of 1952) by the India Pepper and Spice Trade Association, Cochin, a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956, on the 6th October, 1959 with limited liability, and being satisfied that it would be in the interest of the trade and also in the public interest so to do, hereby grants in exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the said Act, recognition to the said Association on a permanent basis with effect from the 2nd April 1960 in respect of forward contracts in pepper.

2. The recognition hereby granted is subject to the condition that the said Association shall comply with such directions as may from time to time be given by the Forward Markets Commission.

[No. 34(21)-TMP/FMC/59.]

**S.O. 806.**—The Central Government, having considered in consultation with the Forward Markets Commission, the application for renewal of recognition made under section 5 of the Forward Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1952 (74 of 1952)

by The Spices and Oilseeds Exchange Limited, Sangli, and being satisfied that it would be in the interest of the trade and also in the public interest so to do, hereby grants, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the said Act, recognition to the said Exchange on a permanent basis with effect from the 11th April, 1960 in respect of forward contracts in turmeric.

2. The recognition hereby granted is subject to the condition that the said Exchange shall comply with such directions as may from time to time be given by the Forward Markets Commission.

[No. 34(4)-TMP/FMC/59.]

## ORDER

### EXPORT TRADE CONTROL

*New Delhi, the 2nd April 1960*

**S.O. 807.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 and 4A of the Imports and Exports (Control) Act, 1947 (18 of 1947), as in force in India and as applied to the State of Pondicherry, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Exports (Control) Order, 1958 namely:—

In Schedule I to the said Order—

Under the heading "C. ARTICLES WHOLLY OR MAINLY MANUFACTURED", the following entry of item 15 shall be omitted:—

"(vi) Haberdashery and millinery."

[No. Export(1)/AM(28).]

T. S. KUNCHITHAPATHAM, Under Secy.

### (Office of the Jt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

## ORDERS

*Bombay, the 12th March 1960*

**S.O. 808.**—Whereas M/s. R. Bhogilal & Co. 261/63/1, Hornby Rd., Bombay or any Bank of any other person have not come forward furnishing sufficient cause, against Notice No. 5(2).III/R.4/1.60/B, dated 6th February, 1960, proposing to cancel licence No. E866111/57, dated 30th May, 1959, valued at Rs. 500 for the import of Component parts of Textile Machinery from the Soft Currency Area except South Africa, granted to the said M/s. R. Bhogilal and Co., Bombay, by the Jt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports, Bombay Government of India, in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause 9 of the Imports (Control) Order, 1955, hereby cancel the said licence No. E866111/57, dated 30th May, 1959, issued to the said M/s. R. Bhogilal and Co., 261/63, Hornby Rd., Bombay.

M/s. R. Bhogilal and Co.,

261/63, Hornby Rd., Bombay.

[No. 5(2).III/R.4/1.60/B.]

**S.O. 809.**—Whereas M/s. Mehta & Co., 71, Marine Drive, Bombay 1, or any Bank of any other person have not come forward furnishing sufficient cause, against Notice No. 5(2).IIIM.10.1.60/B, dated 6th February, 1960, proposing to cancel licence No. E733305/57, dated 22nd May, 1959, valued at Rs. 500 for the import of Component parts of Textile Machinery from the Soft Currency Area

---

**except** South Africa, granted to the said M/s. Mehta & Co., Bombay, by the Jt. C. C. I., Bombay, Government of India, in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause 9 of the Imports (Control) Order, 1955, hereby cancel the said licence No. 733305/57, dated 22nd May, 1959, issued to the said M/s. Mehta & Co., 71, Marine Drive, Bombay-1.

**M/s. Mehta & Co.,**

71, Marine Drive, Bombay.

[No. 5(2).III/M.10.1.60/B.]

K. V. DAVE,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports, & Exports.

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 21st March 1960

S.O. 819.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that nine licences, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been granted authorizing the licensees to use the Standard Mark.

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Licence No. and Date	Period of Validity		Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the Licence	Relevant Indian Standard
		From	To			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	CM/L-170 11-3-1960	1-4-1960	31-3-1961	The Britannia Biscuit Co. Ltd., 15, Taratolla Road, Calcutta—27.	Biscuits (Excluding Wafer Biscuits).	IS: 1011-1957 Specification for Biscuits (Excluding Wafer Biscuits).
2	CM/L-171 11-3-1960	1-4-1960	31-3-1961	The Britannia Biscuit Co. Ltd., Reay Road, East, Mazagaon, Bombay-10.	Do.	Do.
2	CM/L-172 11-3-1960	1-4-1960	31-3-1961	M/s. Parle Products Mfg. Co. Private Ltd., North Level Crossing, Vile Parle, Bombay- 24.	Do.	Do.
4	CM/L-173 11-3-1960	1-4-1960	31-3-1961	M/s. Lily Biscuit Co. Private Ltd., 3, Ramakanta Sen Lane, Ultadanga, Calcutta-4.	Do.	Do.
5	CM/L-174 11-3-1960	1-4-1960	31-3-1961	The Sathe Biscuit & Chocolate Co. Ltd., 820, Bhavani Peth, Poona-2.	Do.	Do.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
6	CM/L-175 14-3-1960	1-4-1960	31-3-1961	M s. Camlin Private Ltd., 210, Lady Jamshedji Road, Mahim, Bombay-16.	Fountain Pen Ink, Blue Black.	IS: 220-1950 Specification for Fountain Pen Ink, Blue-Black.
7	CM/L-176 14-3-1960	1-4-1960	31-3-1961	Do.	Dye Based Fountain Pen Ink, Blue.	IS: 1221-1957 Specification for Dye Based Fountain Pen Inks (Blue, Green, Violet, Black and Red).
8	CM/L-177 14-3-1960	1-4-1960	31-3-1961	The Rampur Distillery and Chemical Co. Ltd., Judge Road, Rampur.	Denatured Spirit.	IS: 323-1952 Specification for Denatured Spirit.
9	CM/L-178 14-3-1960	1-4-1960	31-3-1961	The Ganges Plywood Mfg. Co. Private Ltd., 35, Dent Mission Road, Calcutta-23.	Tea-Chest Plywood Panels.	IS: 10-1953 Specification for Plywood Tea-Chests ( <i>Revised</i> ).

[No. MD/12-217.]

*New Delhi, the 23rd March 1960*

**S.O. 811.**—In modification of the rate of marking fee for Magnesium Chloride, Technical, notified in the Schedule annexed to the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Indian Standards Institution) Notification No. S.O. 334 dated the 12th March 1958 published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3—Sub-section (ii) dated 29 March 1958, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the marking fee per unit for Magnesium Chloride, Technical, details of which are given in the Schedule here to annexed, has been amended. The amended rate of marking fee shall come into force with immediate effect.

THE SCHEDULE

Product/Class of Product	No. and Title of the Relevant Indian Standard	Unit	Marking Fee per Unit as Amended
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Magnesium Chloride, Technical.	IS: 254—1950 Specification for Magnesium Chloride Technical	One ton	25 naye Paise with a minimum of Rs. 2,500 for production during a calendar year.

[No. MD/18-2.]

C. N. MODAWAL,  
Deputy Director (Marks).

MINISTRY OF STEEL, MINES & FUEL

(Department of Mines & Fuel)

*New Delhi, the 23rd March 1960*

**S.O. 812.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 15 of the Coal Mines (Conservation and Safety) Act, 1952 (12 of 1952), read with rule 21 of the Coal Mines (Conservation and Safety) Rules, 1954, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Arjun Agarwalla, Anand Bhawan, P.O. Jharia, as a member of the Advisory Committee on Stowing in place of Shri S. P. Poddar, who has resigned his membership, for the unexpired portion of the term of office of Shri S. P. Poddar, and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India, Department of Mines and Fuel (Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel) No. S.O. 1743 dated the 29th July 1959 namely:—

In the said notification, under column 1, for the entry “Shri S. P. Poddar, Jaipuria Kajora Collieries, P.O. Ondal, Burdwan.” the entry “Shri Arjun Agarwalla, Anand Bhawan, P.O. Jharia.” shall be substituted.

[No. C5-4(2)/60.]

CHHEDI LAL, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Mines and Fuel)

*New Delhi, the 25th March 1960*

**S.O. 813.**—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Department of Mines and Fuel (Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel) S.O. 1914, dated 27th August, 1959, under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government gave notice of its intention to acquire the lands in the locality specified in the Schedule appended to that notification.

And whereas no objection has been filed against the said notification.

And whereas the Central Government after consulting the Government of Madhya Pradesh is satisfied that the land measuring 15.15 acres described in the said Schedule and reproduced in the Schedule below should be acquired.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 9 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the lands measuring 15.15 acres described in the Schedule below are hereby acquired.

The plans of the areas covered by this notification may be inspected in the office of the Collector, Surguja (M.P.) or in the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta or in the office of the National Coal Development Corporation Ltd. (Revenue Section) Darbhanga House, Ranchi.

#### SCHEDULE

Drawing No. Rev./45/59.  
(Showing the lands to be acquired).

Sl. No.	Reserve Forest	Thana Tahsil	Tahsil No.	District	Area	Remarks
1.	Reserve Forest.	Baikunthpur	60	Surguja	15.15 Acres (Approximately)	Part
TOTAL : 15.15 Acres (Approximately)						

Area to be acquired:—Reserve Forest.

#### Boundary Description

AB line passes through Reserve Forest.  
BC line passes through Reserve Forest.  
CD line passes through Reserve Forest.  
DA line passes through Reserve Forest.

[No. C-2-22(6)/59.]

B. ROY, Under Secy.

#### (Department of Iron & Steel)

New Delhi, the 28th March 1960

**S.O. 814/ESS. COMM/IRON & STEEL/AM(5).**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (10 of 1955), as in force in India and as applied to the State of Pondicherry, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendments in the Iron and Steel (Control) Order, 1956, as in force in India and as applied to the said State, namely:—

In the said Order, in the proviso to clause 15, for the words "provided that" the words "provided further that" shall be substituted, and before the proviso as so amended the following proviso shall be inserted, namely:—

"Provided that the Controller may, with the approval of the Central Government, fix maximum prices for sale of iron or steel (a) by a Producer, (b) by a Stockholder including a Controlled Stockholder and (c) by any other person or class of persons holding stocks of iron or steel for export outside India and such prices may be different from the maximum selling prices fixed for sale for other purposes."

[No. SC(C)2(34)/59.]

M. C. MISRA, Under Secy.



**MINISTRY OF FOOD & AGRICULTURE****(Department of Agriculture)***New Delhi, the 24th March 1960*

**S.O. 815.**—The following draft of an amendment to the Vegetable Oils Grading and Marking Rules, 1955, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Agricultural Produce (Grading and Marking) Act, 1937 (1 of 1937), is published as required by the said section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby, and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 23rd April, 1960.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date specified will be considered by the Central Government.

***Draft Amendment***

In Schedule VII to the said Rules, in column 10, for the heading "Polenske Value (not more than)", the following heading shall be substituted, namely:—

"Polenske Value (not less than)".

[No. F. 25-1/59-AM.]

V. S. NIGAM, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF HEALTH***New Delhi, the 16th March 1960*

**S.O. 816.**—Dr. S. C. Misra, MBBS, LRCP, FRCS (Eng.), Professor and Head of the Department of Surgery, K.G. Medical College, Lucknow has been duly elected as a member of the Dental Council of India under clause (b) of section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948), with effect from the 6th February, 1960 against the seat vacated by Dr. S. N. Mathur.

[No. F. 3-2/60-MIL.]

R. MURTHI, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT & COMMUNICATIONS****(Department of Transport)****(Transport Wing)****PORTS***New Delhi, the 22nd March 1960*

**S.O. 817.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 11 of the Madras Port Trust Act, 1905 (Madras Act II of 1905), the Central Government hereby appoints the State Port Officer, Madras, as a Trustee of the Port of Madras in the place of the Director of Industries and Commerce, Madras.

[No. 13-PG(24)/59.]

*New Delhi, the 23rd March 1960.*

**S.O. 818.**—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 6 of the Calcutta Port Act, 1890 the names of the following persons who have been elected as Commissioners of the Port of

Calcutta, for a period of two years from 1st April 1960 are hereby published for general information.

Name of elected person	Constituency from which elected
Shri B. N. Mondal. Shri B. C. Ghose Shri P. N. Talukdar.	} Bengal National Chamber of Commerce & Industry.
Shri G. D. Longhurst. Shri J. B. Craig.	} Bengal Chamber of Commerce & Industry.
Shri R. P. Goenka. Shri S. S. Kanoria.	} Indian Chamber of Commerce.
Shri H. S. Singhanla.	Bharat Chamber of Commerce.
Shri Rabindralal Sinha.	Howrah Municipality.
Shri I. N. Wankawala . . . . .	Indian National Steamship Owners' Association.
Shri S. R. Goenka . . . . .	Merchants' Chamber of Commerce.
Khan Bahadur] G. A. Dossani . . . . .	Oriental Chamber of Commerce.

[No. 9-PG (4)/60.]

K. NARAYANAN, Dy. Secy.

**(Department of Transport)**

**MERCHANT SHIPPING**

*New Delhi, the 1st April 1960*

**S.O. 819.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 7 of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1958 (44 of 1958) and in supersession of all previous orders on the subject, the Director General of Shipping, with the previous approval of the Central Government, hereby directs that the power to grant a licence and to impose conditions therein conferred on him by sections 406 and 407 of the said Act shall be exercised also by the undermentioned officers, namely:—

- (1) the senior Deputy Director General of Shipping;
- (2) the Deputy Director General of Shipping;
- (3) the Assistant Director General of Shipping in charge of licensing duties.

[No. 30-ML(3)/59.]

NAGENDRA SINGH, Director General.

# MINISTRY OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

## ARCHAEOLOGY

New Delhi, the 1st April, 1960

**S.O. 820.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that the ancient and historical monuments specified in the Schedule to this notification have ceased to be of national importance.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 35 of the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958 (24 of 1958), the Central Government hereby declares that the monuments aforesaid have ceased to be of national importance for the purposes of the said Act.

### SCHEDULE

*Monuments to be Deprotected*

(List No. 1)

Serial No.	State	District	Locality	Name of Monument/Site	Protection Notification	
					No.	Date
1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	Andhra Pradesh	Cuddapph	Cuddapph Municipality	Nawab's tower at the Jail.	G. O. No. (S.R.) dated 11-2-22, Government of Madras.	
2	Do.	Nellore	Nellore	Erugulamma Temple	G.O. No. 154-Fin. (S. R.), dated 9-9-1921, Government of Madras.	
3	Do.	Kurnool	Kurnool Town	Ruins of the Gopala Rajah's Palace.	G.O. No. 280 Home (Edn.) dated 24-2-1921, Government of Madras.	
4	Do.	Krishna	Masulipatam (Bendar Taluk)	Bendar Fort Powder Magazine.	G.O. No. 197-law (Edn.) dated 7-2-1921, Government of Madras.	
5	Assam	Goalpara	Jogighopa	Five-rock-cut Caves	3759-E dated 12-11-1932, Government of Assam.	
6	Do.	Sibsagar	Harupani	Three stones namely 'The king of Assam's hand basin, and oblong troughed stone and an upright slab' with the remains of the wall which encloses them.	2729-E dated 18-7-27, Government of Assam.	

1	2	3	4	5	6
7	Assam	U.N.C. Mikir Hills	Deopani Golaghat Sub-Division.	Shrine with the image of Durga.	No. 2504 E, dated 27-6-1924, Government of Assam.
8	Bihar	Bhagalpur	Bhagalpur	The Cleveland Memorial in the compound of the Cleveland House.	No. 177-E, dated 29-1-1915, Government of Bihar & Orissa.
9	Do.	Monghyr	Monghyr	Fort Wall	No. 1330-E, dated 12-7-1915, Government of Bihar & Orissa.
10	Do.	Muzafarpur	Basarh	Brick Stupa	No. 1609-E, dated 19-9-1918, Government of Bihar and Orissa.
11	Do.	Patna	Bankipore Patna	Tomb of Major Ranfurly Knox.	No. 1589-E, dated 27-8-1918, Government of Bihar & Orissa.
12	Do.	Do.	Bankipore	The Gola.	Do.
13	Do.	Shehbad	Kathkauli	Tomb of Ghulam Hyder Khan and Siva Kuli Khan.	Do.
14	Do.	Bhagalpur	Colgong	Tomb of Mahmud Shah of Bengal	No. 9899-VA-6-R, dated 7-10-1931, Government of Bihar & Orissa.
15	Do.	Santhal Parganas	Rajmahal	Sangi Dalan	No. 1334-E, dated 12-7-1915, Government of Bihar & Orissa.
16	Bombay	Ahmedabad	Ahmedabad	Phuti Masjid	G.R. No. 2704 G.D. dated 26-5-1909, Government of Bombay.
17	Do.	Chanda	Bhejgaon	Temple of Mahadeo	No. 438-L/A.B. dated 23-11-1924, Government of C.P.
18	Do.	Do.	Naleshwar	Hemadpanthi temple of Mahadeo with a perennial spring in front.	No. 438-L/A.B. dated 23-11-1924 Government of C.P.
19	Do.	Do.	Kadholi	Temple of Mahadwo	Do.
20	Do.	Ahmedabad	Ahmedabad	Rauza of Pir Sultan Shah Gazni	No. 4858 dt. 6-10-1923, Government of Bombay General Department.
21	Do.	Chanda	Chanda	Temple of Ekavira	No. 438-L/A.B. dt. 23-11-1924 Government of C.P.
22	Do.	Do.	Do.	Temple of Mahadeo.	No. C-72/A.B. dt. 11-4-1925, Government of C.P.
23	Do.	Nasik	Malegaon	Malegaon Fort.	No. 1290 dt. 15-3-1927 Government of Bombay.
24	Do.	Ahmedabad	Isanpur	Malik Isan-ul-Mulk's Mosque.	G.R. No. 2704 G.D. dated 26-5-1909 Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
25	Do.	Mehsana	Kadi	Fort (Kot) Ila	B.S. No. 73/59, dated 26-3-1943, Baroda State.
26	Do.	Chanda	Chanda	Well inside the jatpura Gate of the city,	No. 682-I/A.E., dated 12-11-1923, Government of Central Province,

27	Delhi	Delhi	Inderprast Estate (New Delhi)	Nilichhatri . . . .	No. 8903-Education dated 9-12-1927 Chief Commissioner, Delhi.
28	Do.	Do.	Old Malcha Village.	Malcha Bistdari building.	No. 3201-Education dated 11-6-1924 Chief Commissioner, Delhi.
29	Do.	Do.	Village Mehpalpur.	Shikargah Mehpalpur.	No. 3925-Education dated 24-6-1925 Chief Commissioner, Delhi.
30	Do.	Do.	Civil Station Delhi (Probyn Road, Civil Lines, Delhi)	Residential buildings (items 17, 21, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33 & 34 of the notification.)	No. 8479-Education dt. 9-11-1932 Chief Commissioner, Delhi.
31	Do.	Do.	Do.	Bell of Arms on Probyn Road Khasra No. 220 items 2, 4, 5, 6, 8 to 11. Khasra No. 201, items 12 to 16. Khasra No. 181, items 17 to 19. Khasra No. 187 items 20. Khasra No. 186 items 22 to 26 of the notification.	Do.
32	Do.	Do.	Do.	Residential building (items 3 of the notification) actually a bell of arms.	Do.
34	Do.	Do.	Do.	Sentry Boxes items 4(a), 19(a) & 24(a) of the notification.	Do.
33	Do.	Do.	Do.	Residential Buildings (items 29 & 30 of the Notification).	Do.
35	Do.	Do.	Ridge . . . .	Bholi Bhatyari-ka-Mahal.	No. 7346-Education dated 9-12-1925 Chief Commissioner, Delhi.
36	Do.	Do.	Ridge . . . .	Mutiny Memorial with the surrounding garden.	No. 9058-Education dated 11-12-1913, Chief Commissioner, Delhi.
37	Do.	Do.	Inderpat . . . .	Mosque in the centre of Sarai Azim Ganj.	No. 3201-Education dated 11-6-1924, Chief Commissioner, Delhi.
38	Do.	Do.	Kalu Sarai Village .	Kalu Sarai Mosque . . . .	No. 3925-Education dated 24-6-1925, Chief Commissioner, Delhi.
39	Madhya Pradesh . . . .	Dhar . . . .	Mandu . . . .	Sarai near, Daryakhan's Tomb.	The Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Declaration of National Importance) Act, 1951, No. LXXI of 1951 dated 29-11-1951.)
40	Do.	Do.	Do.	Ruins in Bhoipura . . . .	The Ancient and Historical monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Declaration of National Importance) Act, 1951, No. LXXI of 1951 dated 29-11-1951.
41	Do.	Do.	Do.	Nameless Tomb (Near Bhagwanian Gate)	Do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
42	Madhya Pradesh	Dhar	Mandu	Ancient Hindu Well	The Ancient and Historical monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Declaration of National Importance) Act, 1951, No. LXXI of 1951 dated 29-11-1951.
43	Do.	Do.	Do.	Kali Baodi	Do.
44	Do.	Do.	Do.	Nameless tomb (North of Sat Kothari Caves)	Do.
45	Do.	Do.	Do.	Nameless tomb (North-west of Sat Kothari)	Do.
46	Do.	Bilaspur	Pargawan	Temple	No. 1219-D/A.B. dated 26-10-1922 Govt. of Central Province.
47	Do.	Do.	Chandrapur	Temple of Mahadeo	Do.
48	Do.	Do.	Ratanpur	Moose Khan's Dargah	Do.
49	Do.	Vidisha	Udayapur	Pisnari ka Mandir	The Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Declaration of National Importance) Act, 1951, No. LXXI of 1951 dated 29-11-1951.
50	Do.	Do.	Badoh	Solakhambi	Do.
51	Do.	Nimar	Un	Ballalesvara Temple.	Do.
52	Do.	Do.	Do.	Guptesvara Temple.	Do.
53	Do.	Do.	Asirgarh	Tomb of Shah Gauhar with compound wall.	No. C. 72/A.B.-358, dated 11-4-1925 Govt. of Central Province.
54	Madhya Pradesh	Nimar	Ravorkhedi	The horse and elephant Platforms.	No. 984-E/A.B. dated 21-10-1929 Govt. of Central Province, Public Works Department, Nagpur.
55	Do.	Do.	Do.	The Mahadeva Platform on the funeral ground.	Do.
56	Do.	Do.	Do.	Mahadeo Temple.	Do.
57	Do.	Dhar	Mandu	Adhar Gumbaz.	The Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites & Remains (Declaration of National Importance), Act, 1951 No. LXXI of 1951, dated 29-11-1951.
58	Do.	Bilaspur	Kanki	Temple of Mahadeo.	No. 1219-D/A, dated 26-10-1922 Govt. of C.P.
59	Do.	Do.	Kudurmali(Korba)	Containing Three Tombs of Kabir Panthi Sat-Gurus.	Do.
60	Mysore	Bijapur	Bijapur	Mosque No. 325 (near Jail).	No. 8854, dated 18-12-1918 Govt. of Bombay.
61	Do.	Do.	Do.	Mosque No. 4 (near Ali II Rouza).	Do.
62	Do.	Do.	Do.	Masjid No. 324 (Near jail)	Do.

63	Do.	Do.	Do.	Ibrahimpur Masjid.	No. 9100 dated 11-11-1914 Govt. of Bombay.
64	Do.	Do.	Do.	The Zamrud Masjid.	Do.
65	Do.	Do.	Do.	A small Masjid just opposite the Executive Engineer's Bungalow.	No. 1683 dated 16-11-1922, Govt. of Bombay.
66	Do.	Do.	Do.	Kishwar Khan's Tomb.	No. 9100 dated 11-11-1914, Govt. of Bombay.
67	Do.	Do.	Do.	Mosque No. 329	Do.
68	Do.	Do.	Do.	Mosque No. 345 locally known as Khidki Masjid.	No. 2704 dated 26-5-1909, Govt. of Bombay.
69	Do.	Do.	Do.	Rangin Masjid.	
70	Do.	Do.	Do.	Small tomb behind Executive Engineer's Office.	No. 1682 G.D. dated 17-11-1922 Govt. of Bombay.
71	Do.	Do.	Aihole	A Temple with porch falling away from it in Survey No. 268.	G.O. No. 4983 G.D. dated 19-7-1918 Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
72	Do.	Do.	Do.	Temple Situated in Maharwada.	G.R. No. 9099 G.D. dated 11-11-1914, Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
73	Do.	Do.	Do.	One temple of Ramlinga group No. 1.	Do.
74	Do.	Do.	Do.	One temple of Ramlinga group No. 2.	Do.
75	Do.	Do.	Do.	Group of temples on the right bank of river Malap-rabha in Survey No. 267.	Do.
76	Do.	Do.	Do.	Sangameshwa Temple.	Do.
77	Do.	Do.	Do.	Mudiramappa Temple.	Do.
78	Do.	Do.	Torvi Bijapur	Mosque No. 356.	G.O. No. 8853 G.D. dated 18-12-1918, Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
79	Do.	Do.	Ainapur	Small Idgah near Ainul-mulk's tomb.	Do.
80	Do.	Do.	Bijapur	Chabuk Sawar's Tomb.	G.O. No. 8854 G.D. dated 18-12-1918, Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
81	Do.	Do.	Do.	Dharwadi Masjid.	Do.
82	Do.	Do.	Do.	Jaina Shah's Tomb.	Do.
83	Do.	Do.	Do.	Janat Mahal (Tomb) beyond Jail.	Do.
84	Do.	Do.	Do.	Mal Bavdi Masjid on Bijapur-Sholapur Road.	Do.
85	Do.	Do.	Do.	Tomb of Mohd. Ibrahim.	Do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
86	Mysore	Bijapur	Torvi (Bijapur)	Mosque No. 349 on Bijapur-Torvi Road.	G.O. No. 8854 G.D. dated 18-12-1918, Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
87	Do.	Do.	Bijapur	Small Masjid near Deccani Idgah (Bhui Masjid).	Do.
88	Do.	Do.	Do.	Tomb No. 306.	Do.
89	Do.	Do.	Do.	Tomb No. 362.	Do.
90	Do.	Do.	Do.	Parkhani's Masjid.	No. 9100 dated 11-11-1914, Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
91	Do.	Do.	Do.	Mosque No. 314.	Do.
92	Do.	Do.	Do.	Small Masjid near Afzal Khan's Wives Tomb.	G.O. No. 836, dated 18-10-1923, Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
93	Do.	Do.	Do.	Tomb in the Village Site.	G.O. No. 8362 G.D. dated 9-7-1921, Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
94	Do.	Do.	Rambhapur	Rambhapur Mosque.	G.O. No. 8853 G.D. dated 18-12-1918, Govt. of Bombay, General Department.
95	Do.	Bangalore	Bangalore	Cenotaph.	The Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites & Remains (Declaration of National Importance) Act, 1951 No. LXXI of 1951, dated 29-11-1951.
96	Do.	Chickmagalur	Hiremagalur	Yupasthamba & Isvara Temple	Do.
97	Punjab	Karnal	Kaithal	Brick Baoli near Talao.	No. 13762 dated 21-5-1919, Govt. of Punjab, Revenue & Agriculture Department.
98	Rajasthan	Alwar	Alwar Town	Gumbad Khan-i-Khana.	The Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Declaration of National Importance) Act, 1951 No. LXXI of 1951 dated 29-11-1951.
99	Do.	Jaipur	Barnala	Yupa Pillars.	Do.
100	Uttar Pradesh	Mirzapur	Chunar	Chunar Fort.	No. 1645 M/1133 dated 22-12-1920 Govt. of Uttar Pradesh.
101	Do.	Lucknow	Lucknow	Qadam Rasul	Do.
102	Do.	Kanpur	Kanpur	Cross situated on Massacre Ghat.	Do.



103	Do.	Banaras	.	.	Goods Yards of the Battis Khamba.	No. 886-II-MS/65-M-1936,	dated
					Banaras City Station.	15-7-1936.	
104	Uttar Pradesh	Lucknow	.	.	Lucknow	No. 886-II-MS/65-M-1936,	dated
					Gulistan-i-Eram.	15-7-1936.	
105	W. Bengal	Murshidabad	.	.	Naginabagh	No. 629 dated 8-2-1915,	Govt. of
					Tomb of Nawab Sharfaraz Khan.	Bengal.	
106	Do.	Do.	.	.	Kunjaghatta	No. 4122 dated 12-11-1925	Govt. of
					Tablet of Maharaja Nanda Kumar.	Bengal.	
107	Do.	Hoogly	.	.	Serampore	No. 1551, Misc., dated 29-12-1920	Govt. of Bengal.
					Henry Martins Pagoda.		

[No. F. 3-214/59-C. 1.]

T. S. KRISHNAMURTI, Dy. Secy.

**MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION***New Delhi, the 22nd March 1960*

**S.O. 821.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) Section 3 of the Transfer of Evacuee Deposits Act, 1954 (No. 15 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri N. G. Sen, I.A. & A.S., Officer-in-Charge, Central Claims Organisation, Ministry of Rehabilitation, Mussoorie, as Custodian of Deposits for the purpose of discharging the duties imposed upon such Custodian of Deposits by or under the said Act, with effect from the 7th March, 1960.

[No. F. 27(42)/58-N/Admn.]

*New Delhi, the 25th March 1960*

**S.O. 822.**—In pursuance of sub-rule (2) of rule 11, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 14 and sub-rule (1) of rule 23 of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957, the President hereby makes the following further amendments in the Schedule to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Rehabilitation No. SRO. 632, dated the 28th February, 1957, namely:—

In the said Schedule—

- (1) in Part II—General Central Services, Class III, under the heading “Office of the Competent Officer, Delhi” for the existing entries, the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

1	2	3	4	5
“All posts	Competent Officer.	Competent Officer.	All	Chief Settlement Commissioner.”;

- (2) in Part III—General Central Services, Class IV, under the heading “Office of the Competent Officer, Delhi”, for the existing entries, the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

1	2	3	4	5
“All posts	Competent Officer.	Competent Officer.	All	Chief Settlement Commissioner.”

[No. 2/8/57-AV.]

K. B. MATHUR, Under Secy.

**(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)***New Delhi, the 18th March 1960*

**S.O. 823.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary to acquire the evacuee properties specified in the Schedule hereto annexed, in the Union territory of Delhi for a public purpose, being a purpose connected with the relief and rehabilitation of displaced persons, including payment of compensation to such persons;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Displaced persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), it is notified that the Central Government has decided to acquire, and hereby acquires, the evacuee properties specified in the said Schedule.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Particulars of Property		Area		Name of the evacuee with the rights in the property	Remarks
	Khewat No.	Khasra	Big.	Bis.		
Village Gadaipur						
I	1/11	28/2	4	3	S. Sh. Abdula and Sher Din Ss/o Lakhmir, evacuees (occupancy) Mortgagers. Subdar Jairam S/o Sh. Ode Karan, mortgagee. Mortgagee rights, vested in the Custodian.	
	47/153	51/2	3	15		
Village Chandan Hala						
I	31	104	4	16	Bhore Khan S/o Bhusan evacuee (ownership rights).	
		105/2	3	18		
		110/1	1	9		
Village Satpuri						
I	174 and	892 1/2	1	5	Kalu and Nabi Bux Ss/o Ismail (evacuees) (ownership rights).	
	282	27/3 2	0	14		
	185					
Village Barola						
I	7/80	46/1	7	11	Shamsud-Din Ali Ahmed S/o Dr. Aval Ali Ahmed, evacuees (ownership tight).	

[No. 1(5)/Policy-II/59.]

KANWAR BAHADUR,

Settlement Commissioner & Ex-officio Dy. Secretary.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 22nd March 1960

**S.O. 824.—PWA/Mines/Rules/Am.**—The following draft of certain further amendments to the Payment of Wages (Mines) Rules, 1956, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (2) and (3) of section 26, read with section 24, of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936 (4 of 1936), is published as required by sub-section (5) of section 26 of the said Act, for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 28th June 1960.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date specified will be considered by the Central Government. Such objections or suggestions may be addressed to "The Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi".

*Draft amendment*

In Form VII appended to the said Rules:—

For paragraph 9, the following paragraph shall be substituted, namely:—

"9. (1) Fines can be imposed only for such acts and omissions as the employer may, with the previous approval of the Inspector, specify by a notice displayed

at or near the main entrance of the work place or places at the mine and after giving the employed person an opportunity for explanation.

(2) Fines—

- (a) shall not exceed three naye paise in the rupee,
- (b) shall not be recovered by instalments, or later than sixty days of the date of imposition;
- (c) shall be recorded in a register and applied to such purposes beneficial to the employed persons as are approved by the Inspector; and
- (d) shall not be imposed on any employed person who is under the age of fifteen years”.

[No. 50/82/58-Fac.]

*New Delhi, the 28th March 1960*

**S.O. 825.**—In pursuance of clause 4 of the Cochin Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. V. Subramaniam as a member of the Cochin Dock Labour Board vice Shri J. R. Kerr, resigned and directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour & Employment No. S.O. 1717, dated the 28th July, 1959, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading “*Members representing the employers of dock workers and shipping companies*” in item (4), for the entry “Shri J. R. Kerr”, the entry “Shri K. V. Subramaniam”, shall be substituted.

[No. Fac. 180(9)/59.]

**S.O. 826.**—Whereas immediately before the Employees’ Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), became applicable with effect from the 1st November, 1952, to the factory known as Messrs. Kirloskar Brothers Ltd., Kiloskarvadi, South Satara, there was in existence a provident fund common to the employees employed in the factory, to which the said Act applies and the employees in their other establishments specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the provisions of that Act shall also apply to the said establishments.

**SCHEDULE**

1. Messrs. Kirloskar Brothers Ltd., 3/15, Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi.
2. Messrs. Kirloskar Brothers Ltd., Sri Narasimharaja Road, Bangalore-2.
3. Messrs. Kirloskar Brothers Ltd., 45, Maharani Road, Indore.
4. Messrs. Kirloskar Brothers Ltd., Kingsway, Secunderabad.
5. Messrs. Kirloskar Brothers Ltd., Tilak Road, Ahmedabad.
6. Messrs. Kirloskar Brothers Ltd., Mangalabagh, Cuttack.

[No. PF. II.9(46)58.]

**CORRIGENDUM.**

*New Delhi, the 24th March 1960*

**S.O. 827.**—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment published with S.O. 441 dated the 12th February, 1960, at page 793 of the Gazette of India, Part II Section 3—sub-section (ii), dated the 20th February, 1960, in the third line, for ‘M. M. Bhiwalkar’ read ‘M. M. Biwalkar’.

[No. 31(693)/60-PF.I.]

P. D. GAIHA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 25th March 1960

S.O. 828.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Safe Bank Limited, Itwari, Nagpur and their workmen.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 34 of 1959.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Safe Bank Limited, Itwari, Nagpur,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri G. Palit, M.A.B.L.,

Chairman,

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

APPEARANCES:

Shri Sushil Ghosh, General Secretary, Bengal Provincial Bank Employees Association with Shri N. K. Swami, Organising Secretary, Punjab National Bank Employees Union for the workmen.

No appearance on behalf of the employers.

Camp: Calcutta, dated the 18th March 1960.

STATE: Bombay State.

INDUSTRY: Banking.

AWARD.

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, by its Order No. LR/II-10(125)/58 dated 4th November, 1958 referred under Section 10(1)(d) of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the aforesaid industrial dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Nagpur. Subsequently the dispute was withdrawn, as the Services of Shri P. D. Vyas, the Industrial Tribunal at Nagpur ceased to be available. It was referred to Shri Salim M. Merchant by Order No. LR/II-4(15)/59 dated 5th May 1959 for adjudication. Again as the services of Shri Salim M. Merchant ceased to be available because of his transfer from Dhanbad, the dispute has been withdrawn under Section 33B(1) of the aforesaid Act and referred to me for adjudication as the Industrial Tribunal at Dhanbad. The case was taken up for hearing on 10th March, 1960 at Calcutta. The union objected to the representation by a lawyer. As the management was not there, the said advocate Shri Abid Ali for the employer left, the statement of the management in writing before the Tribunal with a copy served on the other side. The union addressed the Tribunal with regard to these points. The argument was concluded. The award was reserved.

2. It is admitted that Ghaffar Khan Faridhan was a confirmed employee of the bank as a peon. It is further admitted that his service was terminated by a notice dated 7th July 1958, giving three months' time. The notice is purported to be under clause 522 of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes) Award known as the 'Sastry Award'. The said clause provides for cases not involving disciplinary action for misconduct. The employment of an employee under it can be terminated by three months' notice or on payment of three months' pay in lieu of notice. It is the contention of the union that this was arbitrary and capricious. A charge sheet should have been given and an enquiry should have been made and the employee should have been given an opportunity to explain. If this is allowed then the position of even permanent employees would be extremely precarious.

3. Coming to the legal objection raised in the case, I consider whether the case is legally maintainable being an individual dispute. The legal position is perfectly clear. Individual dispute simpliciter is not an industrial dispute. It could be converted into an industrial dispute if a registered union of which he is a member has espoused his cause. If not earlier at least on the date of reference, that union must be of the establishment to which the employee in question

belongs. This is the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Assam Cha Karmachari Sangh and Dimakuchi Tea Estate, reported in 1958-Vol. I, L.L.J. p. 500. But in this case it has not been shown that the employee is a member of the Punjab National Bank Samyukh Union or that the said union is a union of the Safe Bank Employees. So in that view of the matter the case is not legally sustainable. An individual dispute may also be treated as an industrial dispute provided it is espoused by a considerable section of the employees of the concern. The number of such employees need not necessarily be a majority as it was held in Lakshmi Talkies case Madras, reported in 1955-Vol. II, L.L.J. p. 477. This backing if any must be on the date of the reference. This I get in the case of the 'Hindu' Vs. its workmen decided by the Madras High Court and reported in 1958-Vol. II, L.L.J. p. 347. If the cause is espoused subsequent to the date of reference it will not do. This I get in the case of Padarth Ratnam and Co. Vs. Industrial Tribunal, reported in 1958-Vol. II, p. 290. But on the facts of the present case I do not get any indication that the employees of the said bank but support to the case of this particular peon in question, let alone support by a considerable section of the employees. Neither is there any evidence when this union actually took up the cause of this particular employee. So it is abundantly clear that the case as framed is not maintainable in law being an individual dispute. The reference is thus bad in law and is liable to be rejected. The jurisdiction of the Tribunal is not there to adjudicate upon it because the dispute referred to it is not an industrial dispute.

4. Regarding the second contention that there should have been a charge sheet and an enquiry. I must say that the contention is out of place. It is only where an offence is complained of that a charge sheet has been prescribed and the domestic enquiry. That is provided under clause 521 of the Sastry's Award. If there is no offence committed and as there is no allegation, where is the scope of any charge sheet being served or any enquiry being held? The charge is given, where an explanation of the delinquent employee is called for as to what he has got to say in the matter. The enquiry is held only to ascertain its truth or otherwise. But here this power has been given to the employer to terminate the service of any employee. That this Sastry Award was subsequently incorporated into an Act of Parliament is also not denied. This award with regard to this provision has not been disturbed. So it holds good. But this provision is not sacrosanct. It can be called into question before a Tribunal. It has no more strength or force than what we attach to the Standing orders of company. As a standing order governs the relationship of the employer and employees with regard to a particular matter, it is open to be challenged before a Tribunal and there may be an industrial dispute. But the Tribunal can only intervene if it is proved that there is lack of good faith or there is victimisation of the employee for union activities. But in this case there is no allegation, let alone any proof that the bank's action was not *bona fide* or that this employee was selected for this retrenchment on the ground of victimisation for union activities. These elements not being there or being found wanting, the intervention of the Tribunal would be justified. That the Tribunal can interfere in the case of breach of standing orders was held in the case of Newspapers Limited Vs. State of Industrial Tribunal, reported in 1957-Vol. I, L.L.J. p. 32. I accept the Union's contention that where the order of termination is in fact an order of dismissal, the employer takes care to camouflage an order of dismissal in the garb of innocuous—order of termination of service of course, the Tribunal can go into the question. If it is satisfied that the order is really an order of dismissal though appearing otherwise on its face, it can intervene and disturb the order if it finds that it is *malafide* or that the employer is actuated by ulterior considerations to victimise the employee. But in the present case I do not think that there is anything before the Tribunal which can lead it to make such a conclusion. This being so the Tribunal cannot intervene.

5. Having regard to all these facts, I find that first of all the reference is bad in law and I reject it. Even assuming that the Tribunal has jurisdiction and the reference is good, then I find that the Bank's action is justified because it acted within its rights and according to clause 522 of the Sastry Award. In that case also the employee is entitled no relief.

CALCUTTA;  
The 18th March 1960.

G. PALIT,  
Chairman,  
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal,  
Dhanbad.

*New Delhi, the 28th March 1960*

**S.O. 829.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Bhatdee Colliery and their workmen.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD**

**REFERENCE NO. 67 OF 1959.**

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the Bhatdee Colliery, P.O. Mohuda (Dhanbad)

**AND**

Their workmen

*Camp: Calcutta, dated the 17th March 1960*

**PRESENT:**

Shri G. Palit, M.A., B.L., Chairman,  
Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

**APPEARANCES:**

Shri S. S. Mukherjea, Advocate, with Shri R. C. Patel, Agent, *for the employers.*

Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate, with Shri Ajodhya Prasad Gupta, Organising Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, *for the workmen.*

**STATE:** Bihar.

**INDUSTRY:** Coal.

**AWARD**

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment, by Order No. LR-II-1(79)/59 dated 11th November 1959 made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947) referred to Shri Salim M. Merchant for adjudication the industrial dispute between the parties above named. But as his service was found to be not available and also for convenience of the parties and quick disposal, the Central Government withdrew the proceedings in relation to the said dispute under Section 33B(1) of the said Act and referred the same to me as Industrial Tribunal at Dhanbad by its Order No. 4/47/59-LR-II dated 13th January 1960. The issues which were referred to in the schedule to the order of reference stand as follows:—

"1. Whether the management of Bhatdee colliery was justified in reducing or stopping the commission of Sarvashree Babulal Gope, Dhiraj and Gobind Hazari, surface trammers sardars. If not, to what relief are they entitled?

2. Whether the management of Bhatdee colliery was justified in transferring 4 trammers, viz. Sarvashree Rameswar Gope, Ratan Gope, Moti Gope and Sohan Gope, Surface Trammers of Nos. 1 and 2 inclines to No. 4 incline. If not, to what relief are they entitled?"

2. After the service of usual notices on the parties, the employer filed rejoinder on 4th January 1960. Shri Gobind Hazari filed a separate rejoinder contending among other things that there is no industrial dispute between him and the management. The case was taken up for hearing on 1st March 1960 when the evidence was closed and the argument was heard. The award was reserved.

**3. Issue No. 1.**

So far as Gobind Hazari is concerned, Hindusthan Khan Mazdoor Sangh represented him but the said union did not turn up during the hearing. As it was the contention of Gobind Hazari that there was no industrial dispute between him and the management this omission to appear was not taken any serious notice of by the Tribunal. The other employees, however, contested the matter. Shri Gobind Hazari is still in service as it transpires in evidence. So the Tribunal records no finding so far as Gobind Hazari is concerned.

4 So far as Dhirai was concerned he did trammers' duties as well as the duties of the trammers' Sirdars. For the discharge of former duties he got regular wages

along with gangmen for it. For the duties of trammers' sirdars he got sirdari commission. His contention is that the sirdari commission was reduced from 6 pies per tub to 3 pies per tub. This reduction is not justified. From the evidence I get that he was appointed on 27th April 1957. At that time he used to get sirdari commission at Rs. 2.50 nP per week irrespective of the number of tubs raised by his gangmen. For two weeks the sirdari commission was raised to 6 pies per tub with effect from the week-ending on 11th May 1957 and as the management found that it did not work out to advantage, it was reduced to 3 pies per tub. This is said to be in excess of Rs. 2.50 nP per week. O.P.W. 1 Shri Patel, Agent of Murlidih Bhagdigh colliery has deposed that from the week ending 11th May 1957 the rate of trammings sirdars' commission was raised from Rs. 2.50 nP to 6 pies per tub irrespective of the number of tubs raised. It was only for two weeks that this enhanced rate of 6 pies continued. Then it was fixed at 3 pies. He says, "The rate of 2.50 nP was raised to 6 pies on their undertaking to raise the required amount of output. As a matter of fact, the work-load did not increase during these three weeks. That is why we reduced the rate to 3 pies." Against this evidence I am not prepared to accept the evidence of Shri A. P. Gupta, Organising Secretary of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh that since July 1956 the commission of trammers' sirdars had been reduced from 2 pies to one pice per tub. As a matter of fact, I get from his own admission that it was after 1957 or 1958 that he became office bearer of this branch union. So prior to that date he cannot have definite personal knowledge about the existing state of things. This being the position I think the company cannot be blamed if the sirdari commission was fixed at 3 pies per tub when the experiment of raising it to 6 pies for two weeks did not prove a success. So Dhiraj is not entitled to any relief on the score of reduction of the rate from 6 pies to 3 pies per tub. As a matter of fact, he acquiesced in this reduction for about two years. So the reviving of this claim after this long acquiescence is more or less idle. So I do not accept it.

5. Regarding Shri Babulal Gope his sirdari commission has been totally discontinued. He was said to have been given a charge-sheet and after the enquiry the said commission was stopped. From Annexure 'A' to the company's rejoinder dated 4th January, 1960 I get that all these three trammings sirdars named Dhiraj, Babulal and Gobind Hazari, were given warnings on 18th July, 1958 that they must be more alert in improving the work of their gangmen. They are said to have a tendency of keeping up 10 to 15 empties at the depot and sending them to the incline month in a lot. They are asked to send promptly down as soon as 3 to 4 empties get ready. Then from Annexure A-I I get that on 9th December, 1958 this very three trammers' sirdars were warned again that derailed tubs should immediately be put back on the rail. Then Annexure 'B' relates to Babulal Gope and Sakti Hazari dating back to 17th February, 1959. Then I come to the charge-sheet Annexure 'C', dated 16th April, 1959. The charge-sheet against Shri Babulal Gope is that he was found to have left the place of his duty without any prior permission or without information to his superior authority. It is further charged that he did not work along with other surface trammers but he shared their wages. Annexure C-1 represents his explanation. He gave a flat denial to all these charges. Annexure 'D' shows the enquiry report. Annexure D-2 gives the findings. Annexure 'E' shows that his sirdari commission was discontinued on 6th May 1959. I have gone through these annexures very carefully. I do not see how the enquiring officer arrived at the finding that the charge sheet has been substantiated against Babulal. The charge-sheet is as vague as it could possibly be. No date is mentioned when Babulal left his duty without prior permission. Unless that is given, is it possible for anybody to answer to a charge? I must say no. Then it is said that he did not work with other surface trammers but shared their wages. So if anybody has got any grievance against Babulal it must be the surface trammers whose wages were correspondingly reduced by Babulal's participation. But no surface trammers appear to have been examined. Secondly, the very nature of duties of a sirdar who is also a trammer will make such slowness or negligence out of the question, because he himself stands to suffer as a result. His commission depends on the number of tubs raised. So he cannot possibly accumulate the empties needlessly or without any reason. This aspect of the matter should have weighed with the enquiring Officer. But it seems to have misled him altogether. The enquiry appears to me nothing more than an apology for such a formality. It appears that the enquiring officer had already made up his mind against Babulal Gope. So his finding was a foregone conclusion. It also transpires in evidence that Babulal Gope and Dhiraj have since been discharged as a trammer also. So it appears that for some reason or other the company is out to let down these two workmen. This lends countenance to the union's suggestion that they were eye-sore to the management because of



their union activities. I do not think it to be rather improbable in the circumstances elicited before me. So I find that Babulal's sirdari commission at 3 pies per tub should not have been discontinued with effect from the date of his discontinuance i.e., 6th May, 1959. I allow him sirdari commission at the above rate from 6th May, 1959 onwards on the average rate he used to get in the 3 months previous to the above date. This should be paid to him within two months from the date the award becomes operative in one lump sum.

#### 6. Issue No. 2.

Regarding the four trammers being transferred from inclines 1 and 2 to incline No. 4 my finding is that the transfer is the management's function. Unless such transfer is *malafide* or savours of victimisation for union activities, the order of transfer cannot be impugned. In the present case all that is said is that in the incline No. 4 the number of members of the present union is less than the number in incline Nos. 1 and 2. So these trammers could not take any part in their union activities while working in incline No. 4. Firstly, this objection was not taken initially in the conciliation stage so far as I get. Besides, I for one do not understand how a trammer can take part in any union activities in the course of his work in one or the other incline. They may participate in union activities when only off from their work. So this contention is idle so far as it is advanced by the union. It could not be shown before me that these trammers suffer any decrease in their wages because of their transfer from inclines 1 and 2 to incline No. 4. This being the position I do not see that I can disturb the order of the management transferring these four trammers to incline No. 4. They are entitled to no relief under this head before this Tribunal. The contention is rejected.

G. PALIT,

Chairman, Central Government  
Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

[No. 1/79/59-LRII.]

CAMP: CALCUTTA;

17th March, 1960.

### ORDER

New Delhi, the 23rd March 1960

**S.O. 830.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bhatdee Colliery, P.O. Mohuda (Dhanbad) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

### SCHEDULE

Whether the demand of the trammers for payment of extra wages for performing the extra work of gateman, clipman, signal man etc. in addition to their normal job is justified; and if so, at what rate and from what date the extra wage is payable?

[No. 1/79/59-LR-II.]

New Delhi, the 25th March 1960

**S.O. 831.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the Industrial Disputes (Banking Companies) Decision Act, 1955 (41 of 1955), the Central Government hereby specifies the Industrial Tribunal at Delhi constituted under section 7A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), by the ~~notification~~ of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.R.O. 2389, dated the 10th July, 1957, as Industrial Tribunal to which any matter as is referred to in the said sub-section may be referred for decision under that sub-section.

[No. LRII-10(8)/57-I.]

**S.O. 832.**—Whereas the State Bank of Jaipur has raised a point relating to the interpretation of the award of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes), Bombay, constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 35, dated the 5th January, 1952, as modified by the decision of the Labour Appellate Tribunal in the manner referred to in section 3 of the Industrial Disputes (Banking Companies) Decision Act, 1955 (41 of 1955);

And whereas the Central Government is of opinion that a doubt has arisen as to the interpretation of paragraph 164(b) of the said award in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby refers the said matter for decision to the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi, specified as an Industrial Tribunal in this behalf by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. LRII-10(8)/57-I, dated the 25th March, 1960.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether Shri R. L. Khandelwal of the State Bank of Jaipur by virtue of the duties performed by him during the period 14th March, 1955 to 31st January, 1956, is entitled to any special allowance in terms of paragraph 164(b) of the Award of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes) as modified, and, if so, under what item?

[No. LRII-10(8)/57-II.]

**S.O. 833.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the National Overseas and Grindlays Bank Limited, Bombay and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether by virtue of the duties performed by them, the passing clerks of the National Overseas and Grindlays Bank Ltd., Bombay, are entitled to any special allowance as prescribed in paragraph 164(b) of the award of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes) as modified by section 3 of the Industrial Disputes (Banking Companies) Decision Act, 1955? If so, how much and from what date after the 11th December, 1957?

[No. 10(27)/59-LRII.]

S. N. TULSIANI, Under Secy.

*New Delhi, the 28th March 1960*

**S.O. 834.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 13A of the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 (20 of 1946), the Central Government hereby specifies the Labour Court, Delhi, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) as the Labour Court to which any employer or workman may refer any question which may arise as to the application or interpretation of a Standing Order certified under the Industrial Employment (Standing Order) Act aforesaid.

[No. F-21/3/60-LR.]

#### ORDER

*New Delhi, the 21st March 1960*

**S.O. 835.**—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Messrs Thakore Lalit and Company, Bombay and their workmen represented by the Transport and Dock Workers' Union, Bombay.

And whereas Messrs. Thakore Lalit and Company and the said Union, have, under sub-section (1) of section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the dispute to arbitration by an Arbitration Agreement and have forwarded to the Central Government under sub-section (3) of the said section a copy of the said Arbitration Agreement;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 10-A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said Arbitration Agreement.

# AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

Between

M/s. Thakore Lalit & Company, Bombay.

And

Transport & Dock Workers' Union, Bombay.

Names of Parties.

Representing employers: Partners of M/s. Thakore Lalit & Company.

Representing workmen: Transport & Dock Workers' Union.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri F. Jeejeebhoy, City Ice Building, Bazargate Street, Bombay-1.

(i) Specific matters in dispute:

(a) To what extent the existing rates of wages namely Rs. 6 per 100 bags be enhanced when the workers' are employed on freighters (open vessels) which shall come into force from 13th February 1960?

(b) What increase in the existing wage of Rs. 6 per 100 bags should be granted to filling men when they work on food grain tankers and from what date?

(c) What extra wages should be paid to workers for work on Sunday when they work on freighters and/or tankers?

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.

Partners of M/s. Thakore Lalit & Company Shed No. 14, Alexandra Docks, Bombay-1.

Transport & Dock Workers' Union, Nagindas Chambers, 2nd floor, Frere Road, Bombay-1.

(iii) Name of the Union if any, representing the workmen in question.

Transport & Dock Workers' Union, Nagindas Chambers, 2nd Floor, Frere Road, Bombay-1.

(iv) Total No. of workmen employed in the undertaking affected—700.

(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute—700.

We further agree that the decision of the said Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

Dated this 10th day of March 1960.

Witnesses

1. ....
2. ....

Signature of the parties.

1. ....  
Partner of M/s. Thakore Lalit & Company, Bombay.

2. ....  
Secretary, Transport & Dock Workers' Union, Bombay.

I, F. Jeejeebhoy, hereby consent to act as the Sole Arbitrator in this matter.  
KAM. 10-3-1960.

(Signature of the Arbitrator).

[No. 28/8/60/LR.IV.]

A. L. HANDA, Under Secy.

